

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, and 10 through 12 are pending, with Claims 1, 11, and 12 being independent. Claims 8 and 9 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1, 11, and 12 have been amended. The Examiner's attention is directed, by way of example and not of limitation, to p. 23, lines 14-18 among other places.

Claims 1, 4, 6 through 8, and 10 through 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US 2002/0162111 A1 (Shimizu, et al.) in view of US 5,721,829 (Dunn, et al.). Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Shimizu, et al. in view of Dunn, et al. and US 6,452,943 B1 (Furuya). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 11, and 12 recite, *inter alia*, restarting the output of the video data from a start position placed preceding to the interruption position on the stream broadcast, ***the start position having been designated in production of the stream broadcast***, in response to an amount of buffering having reached a predetermined amount after stopping the output.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of the applied documents, even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed feature as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 1, 11, and 12.

Applicants submit that Shimizu, et al. is silent as to such features. Meanwhile, Applicants submit that newly-cited Dunn, et al. discloses, e.g., pause/resume, with use of a pause point (see, e.g., col. 6, line 39 to col. 8, line 11, steps 232-234), where the STB transmits a pause message; but, Applicants submit that such is also devoid of any mention of the above-discussed claimed features which require, *inter alia*, the start position *having been designated in*

production of the stream broadcast.

Applicants further respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/jjr

FCBS_WS 4675896v1